

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: It is anticompetitive. In candor, Initiative 300 is procompetitive because Senator Vickers has pointed out we are simply asking that owner-occupied farms be allowed to do their stuff without the tax gimmicks that are out there that favor the corporation and the investor with nonfarm income, that they really be allowed to compete on a level playing field and that's partly what Initiative 300 does. And, finally, with respect to the notion that there may need to be some change and maybe we should keep this measure alive so it can be fixed up, my feeling is this. When the need for change fully manifests itself, then somebody will introduce a bill to effect that change and if it's done this session, we can look for 30 votes because that need for change has fully manifested itself so it can be subjected to a public hearing before the Constitutional Revision and Recreation Committee, so it can be passed on, so it can be advanced to the floor. But to keep this bill alive for those purposes is wrong. Any change that we want to make to Initiative 300 needs to go through the regular, full public hearing process and should not simply be done as an amendment on the floor to this bill. This bill is a repealer of Initiative 300. This bill, we should reflect, we should reflect our feelings on this bill by voting to indefinitely postpone it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: We are considering the kill motion. All those in favor of killing the bill vote aye, opposed nay. A simple majority wins. Two are excused. We have a request for a roll call from Senator DeCamp and a request from Senator Chizek on reverse order of the roll call. Any other requests? Commence with the roll call, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken as found on page 525 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: The call is raised.

CLERK: 24 ayes, 22 nays, Mr. President. (See page 525 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: The bill is indefinitely postponed. Anything else, Mr. Clerk?

(Applause from balconies.)